This article was downloaded by: On: 23 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713455674

CONTROL OF THE COORDINATION MODE OF NO² BY THE NATURE OF THE AMINE LIGAND. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE NEUTRAL ZIGZAG POLYMERIC COMPOUND [mer-Ni(N-(2-Aminoethyl)-1,3-Propanediamine)-(NO₂),] (I)

Ruiwu Wen^a; Fernando Somoza^a; Ivan Bernal^a; Frank R. Fronczek^b ^a Chemistry Department, University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA ^b Chemistry Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA, USA

To cite this Article Wen, Ruiwu , Somoza, Fernando , Bernal, Ivan and Fronczek, Frank R.(1999) 'CONTROL OF THE COORDINATION MODE OF NO₂⁻ BY THE NATURE OF THE AMINE LIGAND. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE NEUTRAL ZIGZAG POLYMERIC COMPOUND [mer-Ni(N-(2-Aminoethyl)-1,3-Propanediamine)-(NO₂)₂] (I)', Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 48: 4, 443 – 448

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00958979908023583

URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958979908023583

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

J. Coord. Chem., 1999, Vol. 48, pp. 443–448 Reprints available directly from the publisher Photocopying permitted by license only

Note

CONTROL OF THE COORDINATION MODE OF NO₂ BY THE NATURE OF THE AMINE LIGAND. CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF THE NEUTRAL ZIGZAG POLYMERIC COMPOUND [mer-Ni(N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine)-(NO₂)₂] (I)

RUIWU WEN^a, FERNANDO SOMOZA^a, IVAN BERNAL^{a,*} and FRANK R. FRONCZEK^b

^aUniversity of Houston, Chemistry Department, Houston, TX 77204-5641, USA; ^bLouisiana State University, Chemistry Department, Baton Rouge, LA 70803-1804, USA

(Received 30 January 1998; Revised 3 December 1998; In final form 4 March 1999)

A polymeric zigzag complex, [mer-Ni(N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine)(NO₂)₂] (I), containing a bridging μ -nitro(N,O), was obtained and its crystal structure was determined. Magnetic studies show the compound is antiferromagnetic. These results contrast to those for [Ni(3,3'-diamino-N-methyldipropylamine)(NO₂)₂] (II), which is a monomer.

Keywords: Nitrite; nickel; X-ray crystal structure; polymer

Because of its ability to function as an ambidentate ligand, the nitrite group has been of interest from the very earliest days of coordination chemistry.¹ Bridging ligands, μ -nitro(N,O)² and μ -nitro(O)³ are very common. Moreover, it was observed recently that a nitrite group can also act as bridging ligand through μ -nitro(N,OO')⁴ and μ -nitro(O,O)⁵ modes (Figure 1).

Downloaded At: 14:38 23 January 2011

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: ibernal@uh.edu.



FIGURE 1 Modes of coordination of bridging NO_2^- ligands. (A) μ -nitro(N,O); (B) μ -nitro(O); (C) μ -nitro(N,OO'); (D) μ -nitro(O,O).

Compound (I)[†] was obtained from a deionized water solution of NiCl₂, amine and NaNO₂ in the ratio 1:1:3. Single crystals were obtained upon recrystallization and the systematic absences unambiguously determined the space group to be $P2_1/c$. The successful solution shows the compound to be a polymer, and there is only one nickel moiety within its asymmetric unit (Figure 2). Each nickel atom is in an octahedral coordination environment, and coordinated by three nitrogens of the amine ligand, one nitrogen of a nitro(N) group, one nitrogen of μ -nitro(N,O), and one oxygen of another μ -nitro(N,O) group. All the μ -nitro(N,O) groups in the compound are symmetry related. In the crystal structure, the μ -nitro(N,O) is disordered,[‡]

[†]Crystal data for compound I, [Ni(N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine)(NO₂)₂], C₅H₁₅N₅O₄Ni, monoclinic, space group P2₁/c, a = 7.2849(6), b = 22.740(3), c = 6.7792(6) Å, $\beta = 111.370(8)^\circ$, V = 1045.8(4)Å³. Cell dimensions were obtained from 25 reflections within 2 θ range 10° and 22°. FW = 267.92 Z = 4 F(000) = 560, $D_{calc} = 1.702$ Mg ·m⁻³, $\mu = 1.867$ mm⁻¹, Mo-K $\alpha \lambda = 0.71073$ Å. The intensity data were collected on an Enraf Nonius CAD4 diffractometer at 297 K, using the theta/2theta scan mode with maximum 2 θ angle 33 degrees. Crystal size is $0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.12$. The *h*, *k*, *l* ranges used during structure solution and refinement are: *h*(min, max) - 10, 0; *k*(min, max) 0, 34; *l*(min, max) - 10, 11. A total of 4322 reflections were measured, of these 3948 are unique reflections, and 2670 reflections ($I > 2.5\sigma(I)$) were used for structure refinement. Merging *R*-value on intensities 0.016. Absorption corrections were made. The minimum and maximum transmission factors are 0.8360 and 0.9998. Unit weights were used. The final residuals are RF = 0.049, RwF = 0.039. The maximum shift/sigma ratio was 0.002. In the last D-map, the deepest hole was $-0.42 e/Å^3$, and the highest peak 0.51 e/Å³.

[‡] Population N₅, O₄: 0.66 and N₅' O₄': 0.34 (Figure 2).



FIGURE 2 Crystal structure of polymeric compound [Ni(N-ethylamino-propane-1,2-diamine)(NO₂)₂] (I). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability level. Bond lengths: Ni-N₁ = 2.060(3), Ni-N₂ = 2.080(2), Ni-N₃ = 2.052(2), Ni-N₄ = 2.138(2), Ni-N₅ = 2.158(3), Ni-N'_5 = 2.203(3), Ni-O_4 = 2.134(3), Ni-O'_4 = 2.061(5), N_4-O_1 = 1.224(3), N_4-O_2 = 1.234, N_5-O_3 = 1.240, N_5-O_4 = 1.251(4), N'_5-O_3 = 1.293(6), N'_5-O'_4 = 1.263(7)Å; Bond angles: $\angle O_1 N_4 O_2 = 115.9(3) \angle O_3 N_5 O_4 = 114.7 \angle O_3 N'_5 O'_4 = 110.0^\circ$

which is a fairly common occurrence in polymers linked by bridges of μ -nitro(N,O).⁶ The packing diagram of compound (I) is shown in Figure 3.

Earlier we reported a similar synthesis using Medpt (Medpt = 3,3'-diamino-N-methyldipropylamine) replacing the N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine. Compound (II), [Ni(Medpt)(NO₂)(O,O(N))]_{1.5} was obtained and its crystal structure shows that there are two different molecules in the asymmetric unit with the same formula and very similar coordination environment.⁷ Besides the coordinated amine ligand, each contains one monodentate nitro(N) and one bidentate chelating nitro(O,O). No polymer was formed. It has been suggested,¹ though it is not always true, that if steric



FIGURE 3 Packing diagram of polymeric compound [Ni(N-ethylamino-propane-1,2-di-amine)(NO₂)₂] (I). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 30% probability level. Only the N₅, O₄ and their symmetry related atoms are shown for the disordered bridging NO₂ ligand.

factors are not important, the nitro ligand will prefer μ -nitro(N,O) to bidentate nitro(O,O); compound (I) showed this preference. As to compound (II), since the Medpt ligand provides much more steric crowding, the nitro group has to bind in the nitro(O,O) mode.⁷

The steric factor is very important to the nitro group coordination mode. Since compounds (I) and (II) were obtained from reaction of NiCl₂, amine ligand and NaNO₂ (1:1:1), we wonder whether forming a neutral complex



FIGURE 4 A typical χ vs. T curve for compound (I) (0.5 T cooling, 0.0455 g sample).

is also one of the factors that control binding modes of the NO_2^- ligand. This issue is currently under investigation.

The magnetic susceptibility measurements were carried out with an Oxford Vibrating Sample Magnetometer (installed 1996; equipped with a 1 T magnet) and in the cooling mode from 296 to 5 K. The results of the molar magnetic susceptibility, χ_m , plotted vs. temperature show the sample is antiferromagnetic with a maximum around 65 K (Figure 4).

Acknowledgements

Ivan Bernal thanks the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support (Grant No. E-592). A fellowship for Ruiwu Wen is also gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] M.A. Hitchman and G.L. Rowbottom, Coord. Chem. Rev., 42, 55 (1982).
- [2] J. Ribas, C. Diaz, M. Monfort, J. Vilana, X. Solans and M. Font-Altaba, Trans. Met. Chem., 10, 340 (1985).
- [3] A. Sieker, A.J. Blake and B.F.G. Johnson, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Tans., 1419 (1996).
- [4] J. Halfen, S. Mahapatra, E.C. Wilkinson, A.J. Gengenbach, V.G. Young Junior and L. Que, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 118, 763 (1996).

- [5] R. Wen and I. Bernal, unpublished results. 1997.
- [6] A.J. Finney, M.A. Hitchman, C.L. Raston, G.L. Rowbottom and A.H. White, Aust, J. Chem., 34, 2159 (1981).
- [7] R. Wen, I. Bernal, F. Somoza, W. Li and F.R. Fronczek, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 282, 96 (1998).